

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,217	08/14/2003	Charles P. Simpson JR.	G0003/7284	3459
21127	7590 10/24/2005		EXAMINER	
KUDIRKA & JOBSE, LLP			WEAVER, SUE A	
ONE STATE SUITE 800	E STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, N	MA 02109		3727	
			DATE MAILED: 10/24/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/643,217	SIMPSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sue A. Weaver	3727			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 J	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 July 2005</u> .				
<i>;</i> —					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under i	Ex paπe Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 1 and 6-14 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 2,4 and 15 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 3 and 5 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to be a composed and the correct to be a correct to be	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is old	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to, See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicatority documents have been received in CPCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/27/05.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [6] 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

1. The indicated allowability of claim 2 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Miyazaki '794. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicants are advised that the tabs and handle set forth in claim 15 appear to be double inclusions of those set forth in claim 4 as applicants have not clearly related the structures.

3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyazaki '794.

Miyazaki teaches a blow molded container with the threaded neck show at 5.

The bead is considered to be disposed below the thread at 2 with a large upper flange at 6 and a smaller lower flange at 8 as shown in Figure 1 and 4.

4. Claims 4 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krishnakumar et al '167 in view of Borg '517, both of record.

In the prior art Figures 1 and 2, Krishnakumar et al teach a bottle neck structure with upper and lower beads below a thread and a bale handle secured with a ring. To have merely provided the ring with tabs for ease of securing the bale handle in the manner taught by Borg would have been most obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,217 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 4 and 15 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yu-Hsien '240.

Please note Figures 11A and B showing the handle with ring and flaps 62 mounted on the neck with threads and upper and lower flanges.

- 6. Claims 1 and 6-14 are allowed.
- 7. Claim 3 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 14. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).
- 8. Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. Applicant's arguments, see [ages 7, 8 and 10-12, filed 7/27/05, with respect to the objection to the title and rejection of claims 1 and 6-10 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objection of the title and rejection to the claims has been withdrawn.
- 10. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with

Application/Control Number: 10/643,217 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 (Date) Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate: Registration Number: **Certificate of Transmission** I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. ()_____ - _____ on ______. (Date) Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate: Registration Number: ___

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,217 Page 5

Art Unit: 3727

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sue A. Weaver whose telephone number is (571) 272-4548. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday (6-4:30).

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SW

FAX: 571 273-4548